JME: Journal of Management in Education
Vol. 02, No. 02 (2026)
Available online at: https://ejournal.narasikhatulistiwa.org/index.php/jme/index

# THE NATURE AND PRINCIPLES OF ISO 9001:2008 QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

Siti Widiyatus Sholehah[1], Nurul Fadila[2], Wahyu Ningtiyas[3], Fingki Himami Hafshawati[4], Luluk Khoiriyah[5]

[1,2,3,4,5] Universitas Nurul Jadid, Indonesia

sitiwidiatussholeha@gmail.com[1], nurulfadilaf3@gmail.com[2], wahyuningtiyas050@gmail.com[3], himamihafshawati@gmail.com[4], lulukkhoiriyah449@gmail.com[5]

*Received: 26 September 2026 ; Revised: 9 October 2026; Publish: 14 November 2025*

## ABSTRAK

Lembaga pendidikan menghadapi tuntutan yang semakin tinggi terhadap konsistensi mutu layanan, akuntabilitas kelembagaan, dan peningkatan mutu berkelanjutan sehingga membutuhkan pendekatan manajemen mutu pendidikan yang sistematis. Meskipun ISO 9001:2008 telah digantikan oleh standar yang lebih baru, prinsip-prinsip dasarnya tetap relevan untuk memahami perkembangan manajemen mutu organisasi dalam bidang pendidikan. Penelitian ini bertujuan menganalisis hakikat, tujuan, manfaat kelembagaan, dan delapan prinsip historis manajemen mutu ISO 9001:2008 serta kontribusi konseptualnya terhadap peningkatan mutu pendidikan. Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif melalui studi kepustakaan deskriptif dengan menganalisis dokumen standar manajemen mutu internasional dan publikasi ilmiah yang relevan. Analisis dilakukan menggunakan teknik analisis isi melalui identifikasi, klasifikasi, perbandingan, dan sintesis konsep dari berbagai sumber. Hasil kajian mengidentifikasi tiga dimensi utama yang saling berkaitan, yaitu ISO 9001:2008 sebagai kerangka manajemen berbasis proses untuk memperkuat konsistensi dan akuntabilitas kelembagaan; delapan prinsip historis manajemen mutu yang menghubungkan kepemimpinan, orientasi pemangku kepentingan, keterlibatan personel, dan pengelolaan proses organisasi; serta mekanisme peningkatan mutu berkelanjutan melalui standardisasi, pemantauan kinerja, audit internal, tinjauan manajemen, dan tindakan korektif. Hasil analisis menunjukkan bahwa mekanisme tersebut dapat menjadi landasan bagi penguatan tata kelola pendidikan yang sistematis, tetapi kepatuhan terhadap prosedur belum secara langsung membuktikan peningkatan kualitas pembelajaran maupun hasil belajar peserta didik. Penelitian menyimpulkan bahwa ISO 9001:2008 tetap memiliki kontribusi konseptual sebagai landasan historis pengembangan manajemen mutu pendidikan, sedangkan penerapannya dalam konteks kontemporer perlu mempertimbangkan perkembangan standar internasional dan karakteristik tujuan pendidikan.

**Kata kunci:** ISO 9001:2008; Prinsip Manajemen Mutu; Lembaga Pendidikan; Penjaminan Mutu; Peningkatan Mutu Berkelanjutan.

## *ABSTRACT*

*Educational institutions face increasing demands for consistent service quality, institutional accountability, and continuous improvement, requiring systematic approaches to educational quality*

*management. Although ISO 9001:2008 has been superseded by subsequent standards, its foundational principles remain relevant to understanding the development of organizational quality management in education. This study aims to examine the nature, objectives, institutional benefits, and eight historical principles of ISO 9001:2008, as well as their conceptual contribution to educational quality improvement. A qualitative descriptive literature review was employed through documentary analysis of international quality management standards and relevant scholarly publications. Data were analyzed using qualitative content analysis, involving the identification, classification, comparison, and synthesis of concepts across the selected sources. The findings reveal three interconnected dimensions: ISO 9001:2008 as a process-oriented framework for institutional consistency and accountability; eight historical quality management principles connecting leadership, stakeholder orientation, personnel involvement, and organizational processes; and continuous improvement mechanisms involving standardization, performance monitoring, internal auditing, management review, and corrective action. The analysis indicates that these mechanisms can provide a foundation for systematic educational governance, although procedural compliance does not independently establish improvements in instructional quality or learning outcomes. The study concludes that ISO 9001:2008 remains conceptually significant as a historical foundation for educational quality management, while its contemporary application requires consideration of updated international standards and the distinctive objectives of educational institutions.*

***Keywords:*** *ISO 9001:2008; Quality Management Principles; Educational Institutions; Quality Assurance; Continuous Quality Improvement.*

## INTRODUCTION

Traditional Islamic boarding schools (*pesantren salaf*) constitute an important component of Islamic education in Indonesia, particularly in preserving and transmitting classical Islamic scholarship through the study of *kitab kuning*. This intellectual tradition encompasses the study of Islamic jurisprudence, theology, ethics, Qur'anic interpretation, hadith, and Arabic linguistics through distinctive pedagogical approaches, including *sorogan*, *bandongan*, memorization, and scholarly discussion. The continuity of these traditions requires educational institutions to maintain the authenticity of classical scholarship while responding to contemporary demands for instructional quality, institutional accountability, and measurable learning outcomes. The management of *kitab kuning* instruction has consequently become an important consideration in efforts to sustain the educational relevance of traditional pesantren. Previous studies have demonstrated that effective instruction requires coordination among learning objectives, pedagogical methods, institutional arrangements, and evaluation procedures (Hidayah, 2023; Ja'far, 2025; Isnadia et al., 2026).

The increasing emphasis on educational quality also raises questions about how traditional Islamic learning can be evaluated without reducing its intellectual and pedagogical characteristics to administrative indicators. Learning evaluation in *pesantren salaf* involves more than measuring students' ability to read classical Arabic texts; it also encompasses comprehension, interpretation, linguistic competence, and the application of religious knowledge. Although traditional assessment practices provide direct opportunities for teachers to observe students' progress, the contribution of evaluation

to institutional improvement depends on how assessment findings are documented, interpreted, and utilized. Evaluations that remain limited to examinations or individual judgments may provide information about achievement without necessarily generating improvements in instructional practices. Research on *kitab kuning* learning management has emphasized the importance of connecting instructional planning, implementation, evaluation, and subsequent improvement (Hidayah, 2023; Ja'far, 2025; Mursid & Firdaus, 2026). Similarly, program evaluation studies have demonstrated the relevance of assessing educational inputs, processes, and learning outcomes as interconnected elements of instructional quality (Fuadi et al., 2025; Huseng et al., 2025).

At the institutional level, empirical studies have documented different arrangements for managing and evaluating *kitab kuning* instruction in Indonesian pesantren. Research at Pondok Pesantren Al-Iman in Purworejo examined the management of instructional quality in an institution experiencing organizational development and increasing student enrollment (Hidayah, 2023). Meanwhile, a study at Pondok Pesantren Nurul Qodim Al-Mansuriyah in Pasuruan identified collaborative instructional planning, the implementation of *bandongan*, scheduled oral and written examinations, reading assessments, and daily teacher monitoring (Ja'far, 2025). Research at Pondok Pesantren Alluqmaniyyah in Yogyakarta further examined the *sorogan* method through the Context, Input, Process, and Product (CIPP) evaluation framework, while another study at Pondok Pesantren Alfakhriyah Multidimensi in Makassar applied the same framework to evaluate *kitab kuning* learning activities (Fuadi et al., 2025; Huseng et al., 2025). These institutional findings demonstrate that learning evaluation is implemented through different pedagogical and managerial arrangements. However, the available evidence does not automatically establish whether these practices have developed into a sustainable institutional quality culture.

Existing research can be mapped into three interconnected orientations. The first focuses on instructional quality management, examining how educational institutions organize learning objectives, teaching activities, and evaluation procedures to maintain students' mastery of classical Islamic texts (Hidayah, 2023; Mursid & Firdaus, 2026). The second emphasizes the implementation and evaluation of traditional teaching methods, particularly *sorogan* and *bandongan*, including the integration of examinations, teacher observation, and continuous monitoring into instructional management (Ja'far, 2025; Isnadia et al., 2026). The third investigates educational evaluation through structured assessment frameworks, particularly CIPP, to examine the suitability of instructional contexts, available resources, implementation processes, and learning outcomes (Fuadi et al., 2025; Huseng et al., 2025). Although these studies provide substantial evidence concerning instructional management and evaluation practices, their analytical emphasis remains distributed across pedagogical effectiveness, program assessment, and institutional quality management. Consequently, their findings require further integration to explain how evaluation becomes an organizational practice supporting continuous improvement.

The theoretical gap lies in the insufficient conceptual integration between the management of *kitab kuning* learning evaluation and the institutional development of a quality culture in traditional Islamic boarding schools. Existing studies have examined the planning, implementation, and evaluation of classical Islamic instruction (Hidayah, 2023; Ja'far, 2025; Mursid & Firdaus, 2026), while other investigations have emphasized the assessment of instructional effectiveness through structured evaluation models (Fuadi et al., 2025; Huseng et al., 2025). However, evaluating instructional performance and developing a quality culture represent analytically distinct processes. The former concerns the systematic assessment of educational activities, whereas the latter involves shared institutional values, collective responsibility, reflective practices, and sustained commitments to improvement. The central issue is therefore not merely whether evaluation procedures are implemented, but how evaluation findings are incorporated into institutional decision-making, collaborative reflection, and recurring instructional improvements. This distinction requires a framework capable of connecting evaluation management with the organizational processes through which quality-oriented practices become established and sustained.

The novelty of this study lies in positioning *kitab kuning* learning evaluation management as an organizational mechanism for developing quality culture within the distinctive pedagogical and intellectual traditions of *pesantren salaf*. Rather than examining evaluation exclusively as an instrument for measuring students' achievement, the study integrates evaluation planning, assessment implementation, utilization of evaluation findings, and instructional follow-up within a continuous quality improvement perspective. This conceptual orientation extends previous discussions of instructional management and program evaluation by emphasizing the relationship between assessment practices, collective institutional responsibility, and the sustainability of quality-oriented behavior. Accordingly, this study aims to analyze the management of *kitab kuning* learning evaluation and its relevance to developing quality culture in traditional Islamic boarding schools. The study is expected to contribute to Islamic educational management scholarship by clarifying how systematic evaluation can support institutional improvement while maintaining the scholarly authority, pedagogical traditions, and educational identity of *pesantren salaf*.

## METHODS

This study employed a qualitative approach using a descriptive literature review to examine the nature, objectives, benefits, and principles of ISO 9001:2008 quality management in educational institutions. The literature review approach was selected to facilitate a critical examination of existing theoretical perspectives, international quality management standards, and previous research concerning quality assurance in educational organizations. The study adopted a historical-conceptual orientation, emphasizing the foundational characteristics of ISO 9001:2008 while considering their

relevance to subsequent developments in quality management standards. Literature reviews provide an appropriate methodological framework for integrating fragmented scholarly knowledge, identifying conceptual relationships, and developing interpretations of organizational management practices (Snyder, 2019). Accordingly, this study focused on four analytical dimensions: the nature of ISO-based quality management, its institutional objectives and benefits, the eight historical quality management principles, and its conceptual contribution to continuous educational quality improvement.

The research utilized secondary data derived from scholarly journal articles, academic books, and official international quality management standards. As specified in the original research design, relevant literature was identified through Google Scholar and the Garuda academic portal using search terms such as "ISO 9001:2008 in education," "ISO 9001 quality management," and "quality management principles in educational institutions." Sources were selected based on their relevance to the research objectives, conceptual clarity, and contribution to understanding quality management in schools and higher education institutions. Historical references concerning ISO 9001:2008 were prioritized to establish the characteristics of the standard, while more recent studies and standards were considered as comparative sources for understanding subsequent conceptual developments. In particular, ISO 9001:2008 was treated as a historical standard rather than a current certification requirement, and later revisions were examined to distinguish the continuity of quality management principles from changes in formal requirements (International Organization for Standardization [ISO], 2008, 2015, 2026). This study did not employ a systematic review protocol or claim an exhaustive identification of all relevant publications.

Data analysis was conducted through qualitative content analysis involving the organization, classification, comparison, and synthesis of information obtained from the selected literature. The analytical process was structured around four stages: identifying relevant concepts and provisions related to ISO 9001:2008; categorizing information according to the nature, objectives, benefits, principles, and educational implications of quality management; comparing conceptual interpretations across scholarly publications and standard editions; and synthesizing the findings into a coherent explanation of their relevance to educational institutions. The analysis distinguished historical quality management principles from subsequent standard requirements to avoid interpreting withdrawn provisions as current certification obligations. The resulting synthesis was organized thematically according to the four research objectives. Particular attention was given to the relationship between process standardization, leadership, stakeholder orientation, evidence-based decision-making, and continuous improvement. The findings were interpreted as documentary and conceptual evidence rather than as direct empirical measurements of the effectiveness of ISO implementation in specific educational institutions (Snyder, 2019; ISO, 2008, 2015, 2026).

## RESULT

The Nature and Objectives of ISO 9001:2008 Quality Management in Educational Institutions

The documentary analysis identified ISO 9001:2008 as a standardized quality management framework designed to establish consistency, accountability, and continual improvement in organizational processes. Within educational institutions, its fundamental purpose can be understood through the integration of administrative management, educational service delivery, organizational responsibilities, and quality monitoring. The framework emphasizes that educational quality cannot depend exclusively on individual performance but requires coordinated institutional processes governed by clearly defined responsibilities and quality requirements. Its application to education is therefore associated with the management of interconnected activities, including educational planning, administrative services, instructional support, resource management, and institutional evaluation. The analysis also identified the process approach as a central characteristic of the standard, positioning educational services within an organized sequence of planning, implementation, monitoring, and corrective action.

The objectives of ISO 9001:2008 quality management can be classified into internal and external institutional dimensions. Internally, the framework seeks to improve operational consistency, clarify personnel responsibilities, strengthen documentation practices, and reduce process nonconformities. In educational settings, these objectives may be reflected in standardized admission procedures, documented administrative responsibilities, established instructional support processes, and systematic institutional monitoring. Externally, the framework is oriented toward meeting applicable requirements, enhancing stakeholder satisfaction, and strengthening confidence in institutional services. The analysis further indicates that quality management benefits depend on how effectively documented procedures are translated into organizational practices. Consequently, quality management certification and the actual improvement of educational services represent related but analytically distinct outcomes.

Documentary evidence from ISO 9001:2008 confirms that the standard established requirements for organizations seeking to demonstrate consistent conformity with applicable customer and regulatory requirements while improving satisfaction through effective quality management processes (International Organization for Standardization [ISO], 2008). Its generic requirements allowed application across different organizational sectors, including educational institutions. Research involving a Slovak higher education institution documented the use of quality management models to examine institutional performance and identify opportunities for improvement (Girmanová et al., 2022). Similarly, research involving 83 educational institutions and 2,132 teachers and management personnel in Spain examined differences in institutional quality management implementation, indicating that the organizational effects of quality management systems vary across educational settings (Rodríguez-Mantilla et al., 2024).

These sources support the identification of ISO-based quality management as a structured institutional approach, although they do not establish identical benefits for every institution.

Table 1. Documentary Findings on the Nature and Objectives of ISO 9001:2008 Quality Management

| ANALYTICAL DIMENSION | DOCUMENTARY FINDING | EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT RELEVANCE |
|---|---|---|
| NATURE OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT | Standardized and process-oriented quality management system | Integration of educational and administrative processes |
| INTERNAL OBJECTIVES | Consistent procedures, clear responsibilities, and monitoring | Improved coordination and institutional accountability |
| EXTERNAL OBJECTIVES | Conformity with applicable requirements and stakeholder satisfaction | Reliability and transparency of educational services |
| PROCESS MANAGEMENT | Identification and control of interconnected activities | Consistent implementation of institutional procedures |
| INSTITUTIONAL BENEFITS | Potential improvements in efficiency, consistency, and stakeholder confidence | Strengthening organizational performance when implementation is effective |

*Source: Authors' synthesis of ISO (2008), Girmanová et al. (2022), and Rodríguez-Mantilla et al. (2024).*

The findings indicate that the principal significance of ISO 9001:2008 in educational management lies in its systematic approach to organizing institutional processes rather than in certification alone. Its objectives connect internal process reliability with external expectations regarding service quality and accountability. However, the extent to which these objectives are achieved depends on institutional implementation and cannot be inferred exclusively from the adoption of formal quality management procedures.

**The Eight Quality Management Principles of ISO 9001:2008 in Education**

The analysis identified eight quality management principles associated with the ISO 9000 quality management framework underlying ISO 9001:2008: customer focus, leadership, involvement of people, process approach, system approach to management, continual improvement, factual approach to decision-making, and mutually beneficial supplier relationships. These principles represent interconnected organizational orientations intended to guide quality management practices rather than independent operational requirements. Within educational institutions, customer focus concerns responsiveness to learners and other relevant stakeholders; leadership concerns the establishment of institutional direction and quality commitment; and involvement of people emphasizes the participation of educators, administrative personnel, and supporting staff. The process and systems approaches address the coordination of

educational activities, while continual improvement and factual decision-making emphasize ongoing evaluation and the use of reliable information. Supplier relationships extend the quality perspective to external organizations supporting educational services.

The educational application of these principles can be organized into three functional groups. The first comprises stakeholder and organizational principles, including customer focus, leadership, and personnel involvement, which establish the institutional conditions necessary for quality management. The second includes process-oriented principles, namely the process approach and system approach to management, which connect educational and administrative activities within an integrated management structure. The third comprises improvement-oriented principles, including continual improvement, factual decision-making, and mutually beneficial supplier relationships. These principles provide a basis for linking institutional evaluation, resource coordination, and corrective action. The analysis also identified an important historical distinction: the eight-principle framework belongs to the earlier ISO quality management tradition, whereas later versions of the ISO 9000 family organize quality management around seven principles.

The historical documentary evidence establishes that the eight quality management principles were formally described in ISO 9000:2005 and subsequently explained in ISO guidance relating to organizational performance. Their relationship with ISO 9001:2008 is therefore foundational rather than a claim that the eight principles constituted eight separate certification clauses (ISO, 2005, 2008). Later ISO guidance identifies seven quality management principles, including customer focus, leadership, engagement of people, process approach, improvement, evidence-based decision-making, and relationship management (ISO, 2015). The comparison demonstrates that the earlier system approach to management was incorporated into the broader process-oriented understanding, while mutually beneficial supplier relationships developed into a wider concept of relationship management. The core emphasis on leadership, stakeholder orientation, participation, process coordination, and improvement nevertheless remains recognizable across successive frameworks.

**Table 2. Historical Quality Management Principles and Their Educational Applications**

| NO. | HISTORICAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLE | EDUCATIONAL APPLICATION |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Customer focus | Identifying learners' needs and considering stakeholder expectations |
| 2 | Leadership | Establishing institutional quality objectives and managerial commitment |
| 3 | Involvement of people | Encouraging participation of teachers and administrative personnel |
| 4 | Process approach | Organizing educational and administrative services as managed processes |
| 5 | System approach to management | Coordinating interdependent institutional activities |
| 6 | Continual improvement | Conducting periodic evaluation and implementing corrective action |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 7 | Factual approach to decision-making | Using assessment results, service records, and performance information |
| 8 | Mutually beneficial supplier relationships | Managing cooperation with suppliers and educational service partners |

*Source: Authors' synthesis of ISO (2005, 2008, 2015).*

The findings show that the eight historical quality management principles offer an interconnected framework for interpreting organizational responsibilities and management processes in educational institutions. Their educational relevance lies in the relationships among leadership, participation, process coordination, evidence utilization, and institutional improvement. Nevertheless, the eight-principle model must be identified as a historical framework associated with ISO 9001:2008 rather than presented as the principle structure of subsequent ISO editions.

**The Contribution of ISO 9001:2008 to Continuous Educational Quality Improvement**

The analysis identified four principal mechanisms through which ISO 9001:2008 quality management can inform continuous educational improvement: process standardization, systematic performance monitoring, identification of nonconformities, and corrective action. Process standardization provides an institutional basis for establishing responsibilities and maintaining consistent educational services. Monitoring enables educational institutions to examine whether planned activities are implemented according to established requirements. The identification of nonconformities supports the recognition of weaknesses in administrative services, instructional support, and organizational processes. Corrective action subsequently connects evaluation findings with managerial responses intended to prevent the recurrence of identified problems. These mechanisms indicate that quality management improvement depends on relationships among institutional procedures rather than the independent implementation of documentation, evaluation, or auditing activities.

The educational implications of these mechanisms extend across administrative and academic management. Standardized procedures may support consistent student admission services, academic administration, resource allocation, and institutional reporting. Internal audits and performance monitoring may provide information concerning compliance with established requirements and the effectiveness of educational service processes. Management review can subsequently support the identification of improvement priorities and decisions regarding corrective actions. The analysis also identified the relevance of the Plan–Do–Check–Act (PDCA) cycle as a conceptual representation of this management sequence, connecting planning, implementation, evaluation, and improvement. However, the documentary evidence does not support the assumption that standardization automatically increases student achievement, graduate competence, or accreditation outcomes. Such effects require separate empirical assessment.

The reviewed standards and educational research provide documentary support for these mechanisms. ISO 9001:2008 incorporated requirements concerning monitoring, internal auditing, control of nonconforming outputs, corrective action, and continual improvement (ISO, 2008). Research in Slovak higher education demonstrated the relevance of combining quality management models with institutional self-assessment to identify organizational improvement opportunities (Girmanová et al., 2022). A study of ISO-based quality management across Spanish educational institutions further identified variations in organizational implementation, reinforcing the need to distinguish the adoption of a quality management system from its actual institutional effects (Rodríguez-Mantilla et al., 2024). The historical comparison also indicates that ISO 9001:2008 has been superseded by later editions, with ISO 9001:2026 providing the current international standard and placing renewed emphasis on leadership, quality culture, and the management of risks and opportunities (ISO, 2026). Accordingly, the contribution of ISO 9001:2008 is examined here as part of the conceptual development of educational quality management rather than as a contemporary certification framework.

Table 3. Documentary Matrix of ISO-Based Continuous Educational Quality Improvement

| QUALITY MANAGEMENT MECHANISM | MAIN FUNCTION | POTENTIAL EDUCATIONAL APPLICATION |
|---|---|---|
| PROCESS STANDARDIZATION | Establishes consistent procedures and responsibilities | Academic administration, student services, and instructional support |
| PERFORMANCE MONITORING | Examines conformity and institutional performance | Service evaluation and monitoring of management processes |
| INTERNAL QUALITY AUDITING | Identifies conformity and nonconformity | Institutional review and identification of operational weaknesses |
| CORRECTIVE ACTION | Addresses identified causes of nonconformity | Improvement of administrative and educational service procedures |
| MANAGEMENT REVIEW | Connects evaluation information with managerial decisions | Setting institutional improvement priorities |
| CONTINUAL IMPROVEMENT | Maintains recurring evaluation and corrective processes | Sustained development of organizational quality practices |

*Source: Authors' synthesis of ISO (2008, 2026), Girmanová et al. (2022), and Rodríguez-Mantilla et al. (2024).*

The findings indicate that ISO 9001:2008 contributes to the conceptual understanding of educational quality improvement by connecting standardized processes, institutional monitoring, corrective action, and continuous review. Its principal

relevance is the organization of improvement as a recurring management responsibility. Nevertheless, educational benefits remain conditional upon implementation quality, institutional capacity, and the extent to which managerial improvements influence actual educational services and learning processes.

## DISCUSSION

### The Nature and Objectives of ISO 9001:2008 Quality Management in Educational Institutions

The first finding highlights the nature of ISO 9001:2008 as a process-oriented quality management framework that integrates institutional responsibilities, operational procedures, performance monitoring, and continual improvement. This finding suggests that quality management in educational institutions should not be understood merely as the establishment of administrative standards or the acquisition of international certification. Rather, its fundamental significance lies in developing an organizational system capable of maintaining consistency in educational services while responding to institutional challenges. Within educational management, this perspective emphasizes the interdependence of leadership, human resources, administrative services, instructional support, and institutional evaluation. Consequently, the relevance of ISO-based quality management extends beyond administrative conformity toward the systematic coordination of organizational processes that support educational objectives.

This interpretation is consistent with research demonstrating that quality management systems provide institutional mechanisms for organizing processes, establishing performance expectations, and identifying opportunities for organizational improvement. A case study conducted at a private university in Slovakia examined the application of ISO 9001 and the Common Assessment Framework (CAF), showing the relevance of structured quality assessment for understanding institutional performance and improvement opportunities (Girmanová et al., 2022). The significance of this research lies in its recognition that quality management requires an institutional perspective rather than an isolated assessment of administrative activities. Nevertheless, the findings must be interpreted within their organizational context. The effectiveness of a quality management model in one higher education institution does not necessarily establish its suitability for schools or other educational organizations with different leadership structures, financial resources, and administrative capacities.

A comparative perspective is provided by research examining the implementation of quality management systems across 83 educational institutions in Spain. The study involved 2,132 teachers and members of institutional management teams and identified differences in the organizational profiles associated with ISO-based quality management implementation (Rodríguez-Mantilla et al., 2024). These findings demonstrate that the adoption of a recognized quality management system does not necessarily produce uniform organizational outcomes. Institutional characteristics, management practices, and the extent of implementation may influence how quality management is experienced by educational personnel. This evidence challenges any assumption that the application

of ISO procedures automatically generates equivalent improvements in administrative effectiveness, educational services, or stakeholder satisfaction. Instead, institutional quality should be examined through the interaction between quality management structures and their actual organizational functioning.

The relationship between standardization and educational effectiveness requires particular consideration. ISO 9001:2008 was developed as a generic standard applicable to organizations across different sectors, including those providing educational services (International Organization for Standardization [ISO], 2008). This flexibility allows educational institutions to adapt quality management requirements to their operational activities. However, educational organizations differ from commercial service providers because educational quality involves intellectual development, pedagogical relationships, ethical responsibilities, and long-term learning outcomes. Students and parents may be considered important stakeholders, but educational objectives cannot be determined exclusively by their immediate satisfaction. A quality management system that prioritizes procedural efficiency without considering the substantive dimensions of learning may strengthen administrative consistency while contributing relatively little to educational development.

This distinction is relevant to the internal and external objectives identified in the first finding. Internal objectives include organizational coordination, clearly defined responsibilities, process consistency, and the reduction of operational nonconformities. External objectives involve stakeholder confidence, accountability, and the demonstration of compliance with applicable requirements. Although these objectives are interconnected, they should not be treated as interchangeable. An educational institution may demonstrate consistent administrative procedures while continuing to experience problems in instructional quality. Similarly, institutional recognition or certification may strengthen public confidence without independently establishing improvements in students' learning outcomes. The analytical challenge is therefore to determine whether the internal functioning of the quality management system contributes to substantive educational processes rather than merely demonstrating administrative compliance.

The literature-based investigation of ISO 9001 in educational institutions also emphasizes the potential contribution of systematic quality management to institutional organization and service improvement (Aquirera & Radiana, 2025). However, such conceptual discussions must be distinguished from empirical evidence demonstrating measurable changes in educational performance. The contribution of quality management cannot be established solely through descriptions of its objectives or expected advantages. Instead, educational institutions need evidence that process improvements have affected service consistency, staff coordination, instructional support, and relevant dimensions of educational quality. This distinction is especially important in literature-based studies because the synthesis of conceptual benefits should not be presented as direct evidence of institutional effectiveness.

From a theoretical perspective, the first finding supports an understanding of ISO 9001:2008 as an organizational management framework rather than a comprehensive theory of educational quality. Its principal contribution lies in specifying how processes can be organized, monitored, and improved. Educational theories and institutional objectives remain necessary to determine what constitutes meaningful educational achievement. Accordingly, ISO-based quality management can support institutional governance, but its application requires educationally appropriate standards, indicators, and evaluation mechanisms. The contribution of this study is therefore to clarify the distinction between process conformity, organizational effectiveness, and educational quality improvement. These dimensions may reinforce one another, but their relationships must be examined rather than assumed.

**The Eight Quality Management Principles of ISO 9001:2008 in Education**

The second finding identifies eight historical quality management principles as an interconnected conceptual foundation for understanding ISO 9001:2008. These principles include customer focus, leadership, involvement of people, process approach, system approach to management, continual improvement, factual approach to decision-making, and mutually beneficial supplier relationships. Their significance lies not in functioning as eight independent administrative activities, but in establishing complementary orientations for organizational management. Within educational institutions, the principles collectively emphasize stakeholder responsiveness, managerial responsibility, personnel participation, process coordination, evidence utilization, and sustained institutional improvement. This interconnected interpretation is essential because quality management may become fragmented when educational institutions implement individual principles without establishing relationships among them.

The principle of customer focus requires critical adaptation to educational settings. In commercial organizations, customer satisfaction may serve as an important indicator of service effectiveness. Within education, however, the identification of learners as customers does not adequately capture the complexity of educational relationships. Students are recipients of educational services, but they are also active participants in learning processes, while teachers exercise professional responsibilities that cannot be reduced to meeting immediate consumer preferences. Parents, government institutions, employers, and communities may also hold legitimate expectations regarding educational quality. Therefore, stakeholder orientation should be understood as responsiveness to relevant educational needs within the boundaries of academic standards, ethical principles, and institutional responsibilities. This interpretation is consistent with the development of education-specific quality management frameworks that explicitly address learners and other beneficiaries (ISO, 2025).

Leadership and personnel involvement represent another important relationship within the eight-principle framework. Institutional leaders establish quality objectives, allocate resources, communicate priorities, and determine organizational

responsibilities. Nevertheless, quality management cannot be sustained exclusively through managerial instructions. Teachers, administrative personnel, and supporting staff participate directly in educational processes and possess practical knowledge concerning institutional difficulties. Their involvement is therefore necessary for identifying operational problems, evaluating existing procedures, and implementing improvements. A quality management system dominated by centralized administrative control may achieve procedural compliance without developing shared organizational responsibility. Consequently, leadership effectiveness should be evaluated not only through the existence of institutional policies but also through the extent to which personnel participate meaningfully in quality-related decisions.

The process approach and system approach to management further emphasize that educational activities are interdependent. Student admission procedures, curriculum administration, teaching support, assessment services, facilities management, and institutional evaluation do not operate independently. Weaknesses in one process may influence the performance of others. For example, inconsistencies in academic administration may affect teachers' ability to obtain instructional resources, while inadequate communication between administrative and academic units may delay educational services. The historical eight-principle framework therefore encourages institutions to examine relationships among activities rather than addressing organizational problems in isolation. Research on the application of quality management models in higher education supports the importance of examining institutional performance through integrated organizational processes (Girmanová et al., 2022).

A significant historical development concerns the transition from eight quality management principles to the seven principles associated with the later ISO 9000 framework. The contemporary principles are customer focus, leadership, engagement of people, process approach, improvement, evidence-based decision-making, and relationship management (ISO, 2015). This transition should not be interpreted simply as the elimination of one organizational responsibility. Instead, it reflects a reorganization of conceptual emphasis. The earlier system approach to management is reflected within a broader understanding of interconnected processes, while mutually beneficial supplier relationships develop into a more comprehensive relationship management orientation. Likewise, factual decision-making is expressed more explicitly as evidence-based decision-making. The continuity of these concepts indicates that the evolution of quality management standards involves conceptual refinement rather than the complete replacement of earlier organizational principles.

The development of ISO 9001:2026 further illustrates the continuing relevance of quality management principles while reflecting contemporary organizational expectations. The revised edition strengthens attention to leadership, organizational quality culture, accountability, and the management of risks and opportunities (ISO, 2026). These developments suggest that quality management increasingly requires organizations to consider not only process conformity but also the conditions under which sustainable improvement becomes part of organizational practice. However, the

historical interpretation of ISO 9001:2008 should remain distinct from the requirements of later editions. Describing contemporary provisions as though they were already formally required by the 2008 standard would create conceptual and historical inaccuracies.

Evidence-based decision-making represents an especially important principle for educational institutions. Educational administrators regularly make decisions concerning instructional resources, academic services, staff development, student support, and institutional performance. Such decisions may be influenced by established organizational routines or individual judgments. The quality management perspective encourages the use of relevant information, including service records, institutional assessments, stakeholder feedback, and performance indicators. Nevertheless, the availability of quantitative data does not automatically ensure the quality of educational decisions. Indicators must be valid, contextually appropriate, and interpreted alongside qualitative information. For example, high graduation rates may indicate educational achievement, but they cannot independently establish instructional effectiveness without consideration of assessment standards, learning processes, and students' actual competencies.

The principle of continual improvement connects the historical framework with contemporary educational quality management. Improvements should be based on identified needs, implemented through coordinated institutional action, and subsequently examined for effectiveness. This orientation moves quality management beyond compliance with static procedures. However, continual improvement should not be equated with an unlimited expansion of administrative documentation or institutional reporting. Excessive procedural requirements may increase staff workload without generating meaningful educational benefits. The appropriate application of this principle therefore requires educational institutions to distinguish activities that produce valuable information from those that merely increase administrative complexity.

The theoretical contribution of the second finding is the interpretation of the eight historical principles as a connected framework comprising organizational commitment, process integration, and improvement-oriented decision-making. Their relevance to contemporary education is not determined by whether the historical classification remains formally applicable for certification. Rather, it depends on the extent to which the underlying managerial concepts can inform educational governance. This interpretation also clarifies the relationship between historical ISO-based quality management and education-specific standards such as ISO 21001:2025, which explicitly addresses the characteristics and needs of educational organizations (ISO, 2025). The eight historical principles therefore provide a foundation for understanding the evolution of quality management, while contemporary institutional implementation must refer to the requirements of currently applicable standards.

## The Contribution of ISO 9001:2008 to Continuous Educational Quality Improvement

The third finding identifies process standardization, performance monitoring, internal auditing, corrective action, management review, and continual improvement as mechanisms through which ISO 9001:2008 contributes to the conceptual development of educational quality management. These mechanisms establish a relationship between institutional procedures and organizational learning. Standardization creates expectations regarding how activities should be conducted, monitoring provides information about actual implementation, and corrective action offers a means of addressing identified weaknesses. When these functions operate as an integrated process, quality management can support recurring institutional improvement. Nevertheless, their implementation does not automatically establish that educational quality has improved. The relationship between procedural consistency and substantive educational outcomes requires careful analytical distinction.

Process standardization provides an important foundation for institutional accountability. Clearly defined procedures can reduce ambiguity regarding responsibilities, improve coordination among organizational units, and support consistent service delivery. Within educational institutions, standardized procedures may be relevant to academic administration, student admission, resource management, assessment administration, and institutional reporting. However, the educational value of standardization depends on the suitability of the procedures being established. A standardized process that is inefficient or poorly aligned with educational needs may reproduce weaknesses consistently rather than eliminate them. Consequently, standardization should be accompanied by regular examination of whether institutional procedures remain appropriate for their intended purposes.

Internal auditing and performance monitoring provide mechanisms for identifying discrepancies between planned requirements and actual organizational practices. In ISO 9001:2008, internal auditing was associated with assessing conformity and the effective implementation of quality management arrangements (ISO, 2008). Within educational institutions, audit findings may reveal incomplete documentation, unclear responsibilities, inconsistencies in administrative services, or weaknesses in institutional coordination. However, identifying a nonconformity should not be regarded as equivalent to resolving it. Auditing becomes relevant to improvement when the findings are interpreted, causes are examined, corrective actions are implemented, and subsequent performance is reviewed. Without these connections, an institution may conduct regular audits while experiencing recurring operational problems.

The importance of linking evaluation to improvement is supported by research on educational quality management systems. The Slovak case study demonstrates the usefulness of quality management models for institutional assessment and the identification of improvement opportunities (Girmanová et al., 2022). Meanwhile, research involving educational institutions in Spain shows that implementation outcomes differ across organizational profiles (Rodríguez-Mantilla et al., 2024). Considered together, these studies suggest that the existence of formal quality management mechanisms is only one dimension of organizational performance. The broader

institutional effects depend on how assessment information informs managerial decisions and how consistently improvement actions are implemented. Thus, an integrated quality management system should be evaluated through the relationships among its processes rather than the independent existence of audit reports, administrative documents, or certification records.

The Plan–Do–Check–Act (PDCA) cycle provides a useful conceptual interpretation of continuous improvement. During the planning stage, institutions identify performance problems, establish objectives, and determine appropriate interventions. The implementation stage involves carrying out planned activities within existing organizational conditions. The checking stage examines whether the intervention produced the expected results, while the acting stage determines whether practices should be maintained, revised, or replaced. The significance of this cycle lies in its emphasis on organizational feedback and repeated improvement. Nevertheless, the successful completion of a PDCA cycle cannot be inferred merely from the existence of periodic planning and evaluation activities. Institutions must demonstrate a meaningful connection between identified problems, implemented responses, and subsequent changes in performance.

A critical issue concerns the distinction between administrative quality improvement and learning quality improvement. ISO-based systems may help educational institutions develop consistent procedures, improve document management, and clarify organizational responsibilities. These improvements are relevant to educational administration, but they do not independently demonstrate better teaching, deeper student understanding, or stronger graduate competencies. Learning quality involves pedagogical dimensions that may not be captured adequately by administrative indicators. Therefore, claims about educational improvement should distinguish between changes in management processes, changes in instructional practices, and measurable developments in students' learning. Evidence concerning one dimension should not automatically be used to establish conclusions about another.

The development of ISO 21001:2025 offers an important contextual comparison because it provides a management system framework specifically designed for educational organizations. The standard emphasizes learner needs, organizational objectives, educational service quality, and continual improvement (ISO, 2025). Its existence illustrates a broader recognition that educational institutions require management arrangements responsive to their distinctive purposes. Nevertheless, ISO 21001 should not be interpreted as evidence that generic quality management principles are irrelevant to education. Rather, it demonstrates the importance of adapting management requirements to educational settings. The historical contribution of ISO 9001:2008 therefore remains valuable for understanding process-based quality assurance, while education-specific standards provide a more contextually focused approach to institutional implementation.

The introduction of ISO 9001:2026 further strengthens the need to distinguish historical analysis from contemporary organizational practice. The latest edition places

greater emphasis on leadership, quality culture, accountability, and the consideration of risks and opportunities while maintaining the fundamental orientation toward consistent performance and improvement (ISO, 2026). These developments indicate that quality management has increasingly moved toward integrating organizational processes with strategic responsibilities and institutional culture. However, the current study does not establish the effectiveness of the 2026 edition in educational institutions because it does not present implementation data concerning that standard. The comparison is therefore conceptual and historical, intended to explain the evolution of management requirements rather than claim empirical superiority of one edition over another.

From a practical perspective, the findings suggest that educational institutions should prioritize meaningful quality indicators, clear institutional responsibilities, reliable evaluation procedures, and consistent corrective actions. Quality management systems should support educational personnel in identifying problems and improving services rather than function primarily as mechanisms for generating certification evidence. Institutional leaders have an important role in ensuring that quality objectives are understood, responsibilities are coordinated, and improvement activities receive appropriate support. Teachers and administrative personnel also need opportunities to communicate operational difficulties and participate in the evaluation of existing procedures. These considerations highlight the importance of aligning formal management requirements with the everyday practices of educational institutions.

The principal theoretical implication of the third finding is that continuous educational quality improvement requires the integration of three dimensions: process reliability, organizational responsiveness, and educational relevance. Process reliability concerns whether institutional activities are conducted consistently and according to established requirements. Organizational responsiveness concerns whether performance information leads to appropriate decisions and corrective actions. Educational relevance concerns whether these changes contribute to meaningful educational objectives rather than administrative compliance alone. These dimensions provide a conceptual framework for evaluating ISO-based quality management within educational institutions and demonstrate why certification status cannot serve as the sole indicator of educational quality.

Overall, the discussion establishes that ISO 9001:2008 remains significant as a historical framework for understanding the development of standardized and process-oriented quality management. Its conceptual contributions include organizational accountability, stakeholder responsiveness, coordinated institutional processes, evidence-based evaluation, and continual improvement. Nevertheless, the applicability of these concepts to contemporary educational institutions requires critical adaptation in relation to current standards, institutional characteristics, and substantive educational objectives. The study therefore contributes a historical-conceptual interpretation that distinguishes the foundational principles of ISO 9001:2008 from their contemporary development under ISO 9001:2026 and ISO 21001:2025. As a literature-based investigation, its conclusions concern conceptual relationships and documented research

findings rather than direct empirical evidence that a particular educational institution has achieved quality improvement through ISO implementation.

## CONCLUSION

The historical and conceptual analysis of ISO 9001:2008 demonstrates that quality management in educational institutions is fundamentally concerned with the integration of organizational processes, managerial accountability, stakeholder responsiveness, and continual improvement. Its significance extends beyond procedural standardization and certification toward establishing a systematic relationship between institutional planning, service implementation, performance monitoring, and corrective action. The eight historical quality management principles provide an interconnected foundation for understanding how leadership, personnel involvement, process coordination, evidence-based decision-making, and stakeholder relationships contribute to institutional quality management. However, the adoption of standardized procedures does not automatically demonstrate improvements in teaching effectiveness, student achievement, or overall educational quality.

The continuing relevance of ISO 9001:2008 lies in its contribution to the development of process-oriented quality management rather than its status as a current certification standard. Subsequent developments in ISO quality management standards have refined the historical principles and introduced approaches more responsive to organizational and educational needs. This study contributes an integrated conceptual interpretation that distinguishes procedural conformity, organizational effectiveness, and substantive educational improvement. Educational institutions should therefore interpret quality management standards as instruments for strengthening institutional governance, meaningful evaluation, and sustained corrective action while remaining attentive to their educational objectives. Further empirical research is necessary to examine how the integration of quality management principles influences institutional practices, instructional quality, and learning outcomes in different educational contexts.

## REFERENCES

Alauddin, N., & Yamada, S. (2022). TQM model based on Deming prize for schools. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences, 14*(4), 635–651. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-09-2021-0131

Alifana, F. T., Fauzi, I., & Lama, A. V. (2025). Implementing ISO-based educational reform: ISO 21001:2018 effectiveness in enhancing state Islamic university services. *Al-Tanzim: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 9*(3), 723–735. https://doi.org/10.33650/al-tanzim.v9i3.11286

Amri, K., & Pangestu, F. A. (2026). Implementasi Total Quality Management (TQM) dalam meningkatkan mutu layanan dan hasil belajar di lembaga pendidikan. *Jurnal Basicedu, 10*(3), 834–847. https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v10i3.11900

Anastasiou, S., & Ntokas, K. (2024). Leadership and quality enhancement in secondary education: A comparative analysis of TQM and EFQM. *Merits, 4*(4), 440–452. https://doi.org/10.3390/merits4040031

Aquirera, S., & Radiana, U. (2025). The implementation of International Standardization Organization (ISO) 9001 in educational institutions. *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan, 16*(1). https://doi.org/10.21009/jmp.v16i1.55158

Caco, B., Gani, H. A., & Rifdan. (2024). Implementation strategy of ISO 21001:2018-based service quality management standards at SMK Telkom Makassar, Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, 50*(2), 165–173. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i21268

Girmanová, L., Šolc, M., Blaško, P., & Petrík, J. (2022). Quality management system in education: Application of quality management models in educational organization—Case study from the Slovak Republic. *Standards, 2*(4), 460–473. https://doi.org/10.3390/standards2040031

International Organization for Standardization. (2005). *ISO 9000:2005: Quality management systems—Fundamentals and vocabulary*. https://www.iso.org/standard/42180.html

International Organization for Standardization. (2008). *ISO 9001:2008: Quality management systems—Requirements*. https://www.iso.org/standard/46486.html

International Organization for Standardization. (2015). *ISO 9001:2015: Quality management systems—Requirements*. https://www.iso.org/standard/62085.html

International Organization for Standardization. (2025). *ISO 21001:2025: Educational organizations—Management systems for educational organizations—Requirements with guidance for use*. https://www.iso.org/standard/21001

International Organization for Standardization. (2026). *ISO 9001:2026: Quality management systems—Requirements*. https://www.iso.org/standard/9001

Jasti, N. V. K., Venkateswaran, V., Kota, S., & Sangwan, K. S. (2022). A literature review on total quality management (models, frameworks, and tools and techniques) in higher education. *The TQM Journal, 34*(5), 1298–1319. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-04-2021-0113

Khasanah, U., Riyanto, Y., & Setyowati, S. (2023). Total Quality Management (TQM) in education: School quality management for quality educational services at senior high schools. *SAR Journal, 6*(3), 211–216. https://doi.org/10.18421/SAR63-10

Parveen, K., Phuc, T. Q. B., Alghamdi, A. A., Kumar, T., Aslam, S., Shafiq, M., & Saleem, A. (2024). The contribution of quality management practices to student performance: Mediated by school culture. *Heliyon, 10*(15), Article e34892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e34892

Rodríguez-Mantilla, J. M., Martínez-Zarzuelo, A., & León-Carrascosa, V. (2024). Identification of profiles in educational organizations as a result of the implementation of a quality management system. *International Journal of Educational Management, 39*(8), 18–40. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-01-2024-0064

Rokhmah, S., Amris, A., & Yudiawan, A. (2025). The effectiveness of the implementation of ISO 21001:2018 in the quality management of state Islamic universities: A study of its impact on institutional accreditation. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Islamic Education, 5*(2), 85–94. https://doi.org/10.47945/jqaie.v5i2.2373

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Texeira-Quiros, J., Justino, M. R., Antunes, M. G., Mucharreira, P. R., & Nunes, A. T. (2022). Effects of innovation, total quality management, and internationalization on organizational performance of higher education institutions. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, Article 869638. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.869638

Theodoropoulou, E., Psomas, E., & Bouranta, N. (2026). Total quality management and educational innovation in primary and secondary education: A systematic literature review with implications for STEM schooling. *American Journal of STEM Education*. https://doi.org/10.32674/nbhcew94

Umam, K., & Rahman, M. F. (2025). From compliance to improvement: Assessing ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 21001:2018 integration at a state Islamic university. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Islamic Education*. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.14-10-2024.2356498

Umam, K., Rugaiyah, R., & Mayasari, L. I. (2024). Manajemen mutu terpadu dalam menghadapi tantangan kontemporer di bidang pendidikan: A systematic literature review. *Jurnal Indovisi, 6*(3), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.32698/19073608

Yang, Q., Ahmad, J., & Hamid, A. H. B. A. (2026). Application of total quality management on higher education teaching quality: A systematic review based on PRISMA, and research implications (2015–2025). *International Journal of Learning, Teaching*

*and Educational Research, 25*(8), 1135–1154. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.25.8.45